

Virtual Mentor

Ethics Journal of the American Medical Association
January 2006, Volume 8, Number 1:57-63.

Suggested Readings and Resources January 2006

ABIM Foundation; American Board of Internal Medicine; ACP-ASIM Foundation; American College of Physicians-American Society of Internal Medicine; European Federation of Internal Medicine. Medical professionalism in the new millennium: a physician charter. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002;136:243-246.

ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients randomized to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or calcium channel blocker vs diuretic: the antihypertensive and lipid-lowering treatment to prevent heart attack trial (ALLHAT). *JAMA.* 2002;288:2981-2997.

American Cancer Society. *Cancer Facts and Figures 2005*. Available online at: www.cancer.org/downloads/CAFF2005f4PWSecured.pdf. Accessed December 27, 2005.

American Medical Association. Principles of medical ethics. *AMA Code of Medical Ethics*. Available at: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/category/2512.html>. Accessed November 2, 2005.

American Medical Association Council on Scientific Affairs. *Commercialized Medical Screening (Report A-03)*. Available at: <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/category/13628.html>. Accessed November 25, 2005.

American Society of Clinical Oncology. Statement of the American Society of Clinical Oncology: genetic testing for cancer susceptibility. *J Clin Oncol.* 1996;14:1730-1736.

Asch A. Disability, equality and prenatal testing: contradictory or compatible? *Fla State Univ Law Rev.* 2003;30:315-342.

Ashar BH, Hughes MT, Marinopoulos SS, et al. Current evidence for the use of emerging radiologic technologies for disease screening. *Am J Manag Care.* 2005;11:385-392.

Azizi M, Guyene TT, Chatellier G, Wargon M, Menard J. Additive effects of losartan and enalapril on blood pressure and plasma active renin. *Hypertension.* 1997;29:634-640.

Barratt A, Irwig L, Glasziou P, et al. Users' guides to the medical literature: XVII. How to use guidelines and recommendations about screening. Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. *JAMA*. 1999;281:2029-2034.

Baxter C, Poonia K, Ward L, Nadirshaw Z. A longitudinal study of parental stress and support: from diagnosis of disability to leaving school. *International Journal of Disability, Development, and Education*. 1995;42:125-136.

Billings PR, Kohn MA, deCuevas M, Beckwith J, Alper JS, Natowicz MR. Discrimination as a consequence of genetic testing. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1992;40:476-482.

Brawley OW. Prostate cancer screening: clinical applications and challenges. *Urol Oncol*. 2004;22:353-357.

Burke W, Daly M, Garber J, et al. Recommendations for follow-up care of individuals with an inherited predisposition to cancer. II. BRCA1 and BRCA2. Cancer Genetic Studies Consortium. *JAMA*. 1997;277:997-1003.

Cahill BM, Gidden LM. Influence of child diagnosis on family and parental functioning: Down syndrome versus other disabilities. *Am J Ment Retard*. 1996;101:149-160.

Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. Strategies for reducing morbidity and mortality from diabetes through health-care system interventions and diabetes self-management education in community settings: a report on recommendations of the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2001;50:1-15.

Chamberlain J, Moss S, eds. *Evaluation of Cancer Screening (Focus on Cancer)*. United Kingdom: Springer-Verlag; 1995.

Charles C, Gafni A, Whelan T. Decision-making in the physician-patient encounter: revisiting the shared treatment decision-making model. *Soc Sci Med*. 1999;49:651-661.

Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. *JAMA*. 2003;289:2560-2572.

Chumbler v McClure, 505 F.2d 489 (6th Cir 1974).

Cohen RA, Martinez ME. *Health Insurance Coverage: Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, January–June 2005*. National Center for Health Statistics. Available at: cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/insur200512.pdf. Accessed December 28, 2005

Dahlof B, Sever PS, Poulter NR, et al. Prevention of cardiovascular events with an antihypertensive regimen of amlodipine adding perindopril as required versus atenolol adding bendroflumethiazide as required, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial-Blood Pressure Lowering Arm (ASCOT-BPLA): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2005;366:895-906.

- Edwards SM, Eeles RA. Unravelling the genetics of prostate cancer. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet.* 2004;129:65-73.
- Elwyn G, Charles C. Shared decision making: the principles and the competences. In: Edwards A, Elwyn G, eds. *Evidence-Based Patient Choice: Inevitable or Impossible?* Oxford, England: Oxford University Press; 2001:119-143.
- Ferguson PM. Mapping the family: disability studies and the exploration of parental response to disability. In Albrecht G L, Seelman KD, Bury M. *Handbook of Disability Studies.* Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage Publications; 2001.
- Festoff BW, Suo Z, Citron BA. Prospects for the pharmacotherapy of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: old strategies and new paradigms for the third millennium. *CNS Drugs.* 2003;17:699-717.
- Fontana RS. The Mayo Lung Project: a perspective. *Cancer.* 2000;89(suppl):2352-2355.
- Franklin v Albert*, 381 Mass 611; 411 NE2d; Mass (1980).
- Gallimore R, Weisner TS, Kaufman SZ, Bernheimer LP. The social construction of ecocultural niches: family accommodation of developmentally delayed children. *Am J Ment Retard.* 1989;94:216-230.
- Gatto NM, Frucht H, Sundararajan V, Jacobson JS, Grann VR, Neugut AI. Risk of perforation after colonoscopy and sigmoidoscopy: a population-based study. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2003;95:230-236.
- Gawande A. The malpractice mess: who pays the price when patients sue doctors? *The New Yorker.* November 14, 2005: 67.
- Giampietro PF. Can genomic medicine be applied to the management of essential hypertension? *Clin Med Res* 2003;1:271-272.
- Halvorsen PA, Kristiansen IS. Decisions on drug therapies by numbers needed to treat: a randomized trial. *Arch Intern Med.* 2005;165:1140-1146.
- Harris M, Winship I, Spriggs M. Controversies and ethical issues in cancer-genetics clinics. *Lancet Oncol.* 2005;6:301-310.
- Henschke CI, Naidich DP, Yankelevitz DF, et al. Early lung cancer action project: initial findings on repeat screenings. *Cancer.* 2001;92:153-159.
- Herman CR, Gill HK, Eng J, Fajardo LL. Screening for preclinical disease: test and disease characteristics. *Am J Roentgenol.* 2002;179:825-831.
- Hope T. *Evidence-Based Patient Choice.* London: King's Fund; 1996.

Humphrey LL, Teutsch S, Johnson M, the US Preventive Services Task Force. Lung cancer screening with sputum cytologic examination, chest radiography, and computed tomography: an update for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2004;140:740-753.

Jemal A, Murray T, Samuels A, Ghafoor A, Ward E, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2003. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2003;53:5.

Kamal-Bahl S, Briesacher B. How do incentive-based formularies influence drug selection and spending for hypertension? *Health Aff.* 2004;23:227-236.

Keers JC., Groen H, Sluiter WJ, Bouma J, Links TP. Cost and benefits of a multidisciplinary intensive diabetes education programme. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2005;11:293-303.

Kirchhoff T, Kauff ND, Mitra N, et al. BRCA mutations and risk of prostate cancer in Ashkenazi Jews. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004;10:2918-2921.

Krauss MW. Child-related and parenting stress: similarities and differences between mothers and fathers of children with disabilities. *Am J Ment Retard.* 1993;97:393:404.

Lee TH, Brennan TA. Direct-to-consumer marketing of high-technology screening tests. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346:529-531.

Lethbridge-Çejku M, Vickerie J. *Summary Health Statistics for U.S. Adults: National Health Interview Survey, 2003.* Available online at: www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr_10/sr10_225.pdf. Accessed January 3, 2006.

Liede A, Karlan BY, Narod SA. Cancer risks for male carriers of germline mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2: a review of the literature. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22:735-742.

Link BG, Phelan J. Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *J Health Soc Behav.* 1995;Spec No:80-94.

Mahadevia PJ, Fleisher LA, Frick KD, Eng J, Goodman SN, Powe NR. Lung cancer screening with helical computed tomography in older adult smokers: a decision and cost-effectiveness analysis. *JAMA.* 2003;289:313-322.

Mahowald MB. *Genes, Women, Equality.* New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2000.

Massachusetts General Laws c.260, sec. 4. Available at: <http://www.mass.gov/legis/laws/mgl/260-4.htm>. Accessed December 28, 2005.

McWilliams JM, Zaslavsky AM, Meara E, Ayanian JZ. Health insurance coverage and mortality among the near-elderly. *Health Aff.* 2004;23:223-233.

Mellen PB, Herrington DM. Pharmacogenomics of blood pressure response to antihypertensive treatment. *J Hypertens*. 2005;23:1311-1325.

Miki Y, Swensen J, Shattuck-Eidens D, et al. A strong candidate for the breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1. *Science*. 1994;266:66-71.

Munch C, Ludolph AC. Pharmacological treatment of ALS. *Neurol Neurochir Pol*. 2001;35:41-50.

Murphy AM, McKiernan JM, Olsson CA. Controversies in prostate cancer screening. *J Urol*. 2004;172:1822-1824.

Murray TH. Genetic exceptionalism and “future diaries”: is genetic information different from other medical information? In *Genetic Secrets: Protecting Privacy and Confidentiality in the Genetic Era*. Rothstein MA, ed. New Haven, Conn: Yale University Press; 1997:60-77.

Pasquale v Chandler, 350 Mass 450; 215 NE2d 319; Mass (1966).

Pellegrino ED, Thomasma DC. *For the Patient's Good. The Restoration of Beneficence in Health Care*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1988.

Peverill RE. Hypertension guidelines, meta-analyses and clinical trials: do we assume too much? *Med J Aust*. 2005;182:82-84.

Rhodes R. Genetic links, family ties, and social bonds: rights and responsibilities in the face of genetic knowledge. *J Med Phil*. 1998;23:10-30.

Rogers W. *The Moral Landscape of General Practice* [PhD thesis]. Adelaide, South Australia: Flinders University; 1998.

Sakr WA, Grignon DJ, Crissman JD, et al. High grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) and prostatic adenocarcinoma between the ages of 20-69: an autopsy study of 249 cases. *In Vivo*. 1994;8:439-443.

Sharma S. Hypertension. *eMedicine*. Available at: <http://www.emedicine.com/med/topic1106.htm>. Accessed December 9, 2005.

Shem S. *The House of God*. New York, NY: Dell; 1978.

Surbone A. Ethical implications of genetic screening for breast cancer predisposition. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2001;40:149-157.

Surbone A. Balance between science and morality. In: Paradiso A, Surbone A, Muggia F. Controversies in genetic testing predisposition for breast and ovarian cancer screening. *Ann Oncol*. 2004;15(Suppl):i60-i64.

Surbone A, Lowenstein J. Exploring asymmetry in the relationship between patients and physicians. *J Clin Ethics*. 2003;14:183-188.

Thompson IM, Pauler DK, Goodman PJ, et al. Prevalence of prostate cancer among men with the prostate-specific antigen level < or = 4.0 ng per milliliter. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:2239-2246.

Thornton H. Patients' understanding of risk. *BMJ*. 2003;327:693-694.

Thornton H, Edwards A, Baum M. Women need better information about routine mammography. *BMJ*. 2003;327:101-103.

Towle A, Godolphin W, Greenhalgh T, Gambrill J. Framework for teaching and learning informed shared decision making. *BMJ*. 1999;319:766-771.

Towler BP, Irwig L, Glasziou P, Weller D, Kewenter J. Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, Hemoccult. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 1998 (2). Available at: www.cochrane.org/cochrane/revabstr/AB001216.htm. Accessed December 6, 2005.

Towler B, Irwig L, Glasziou P, Kewenter J, Weller D, Silagy C. A systematic review of the effects of screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, hemoccult. *BMJ*. 1998;317:559-565.

Travis WD, Travis LB, Devessa SS. Lung cancer. *Cancer*. 1995;75(suppl):191-202.

US Preventive Services Task Force. *Screening for Colorectal Cancer*. July, 2002. Available at: <http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/uspstf/uspscolo.htm>. Accessed December 6, 2005.

Wasserman D, Asch A. (in press) Where is the sin in synecdoche: prenatal testing and the parent-child relationship. In: Wasserman D, Wachbroit R, Bickenbach J, eds. *Quality of Life and Human Difference: Genetic Testing, Health Care, and Disability*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Wear S. *Informed Consent*. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press; 1998.

Williams DR, Jackson PB. Social sources of racial disparities in health. *Health Aff*. 2005;24:325-334.

Winawer SJ, Fletcher RH, Miller L, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: clinical guidelines and rationale. *Gastroenterology*. 1997;112:594-642.

Wooster R, Neuhausen SL, Mangion J, et al. Localization of a breast cancer susceptibility gene, BRCA2, to chromosome 13q12-13. *Science*. 1994;266:2088-2090.

The viewpoints expressed on this site are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.

Copyright 2006 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.