

# Virtual Mentor

American Medical Association Journal of Ethics  
May 2013, Volume 15, Number 5: 443-448.

## HEALTH LAW

### Fighting Prescription Drug Abuse with Federal and State Law

Valarie Blake, JD, MA

Prescription drug abuse is an epidemic in the United States that has been the subject of ongoing legislative control since the 1970s. The pharmaceutical dispensing of opioids increased 48 percent between 2000 and 2009 [1], and prescription drugs play a significant role in unintentional death: accidental poisoning is second only to car accidents, and prescription drugs are the leading cause of it, above both cocaine and heroin [2]. Among teens, prescription drug abuse is exceeded only by marijuana use [1]. Physicians, the guardians of prescription drugs, play a key role in limiting their misuse and diversion. This article will review federal and state legislation that targets prescription drug abuse, including legislation aimed at prescribers and dispensers of controlled substances.

#### Federal Programs and Laws

Federal drug regulation began early in the twentieth century with opiate regulation in the 1910s [3] and the 1919 Volstead Act (prohibition of alcohol) which remained in effect into the 1930s [4]. Passage of the most comprehensive federal drug law, the Controlled Substances Act, came in 1970, putting in place a single system for regulating psychotropic and narcotic drugs [5] and establishing the legal framework that secured the 1973 creation of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) [6]. Today a sizeable government program with 5,000 special agents and a budget of \$2.02 billion, the DEA is the primary agency charged with policing the issuance and dispensing of controlled substances, including prescription drugs [7].

DEA regulations apply to manufacturers, dispensers, and distributors of controlled substances, but this article focuses mainly on implications for physicians and their practice. Physicians must be registered with the DEA to prescribe controlled substances (or in very rare cases, receive an exemption from registration), which is predicated on their obtaining proper state licensing [8]. Registration must be renewed every 3 years, and the physician must be registered in every state in which he or she dispenses controlled substances [8].

Regulation enforcing the Controlled Substance Act further stipulates that there be a legitimate medical purpose for prescriptions, the practitioner must be acting in the usual course of practice, and that only a pharmacist can legitimately fill a prescription [9]. All prescriptions have to be signed and dated on the day of prescribing (which makes pre-signing blank prescription pads illegal) [9]. Practitioners can prescribe up to a 90-day supply of a controlled substance, but only with certain precautions (e.g., written instructions on the prescription about the

earliest date on which it can be refilled) [10]. There are limits on the number of refills for certain classes, called schedules, of highly addictive substances like opioids [11]. There are additional regulations for e-prescriptions (online prescriptions) to minimize chance of fraud or abuse [12], and registrants have to notify the DEA, in writing, of any significant loss or theft of a controlled substance [13].

Penalties for violating various aspects of the law can include jail time, fines, and loss of DEA licensure (and thus loss of ability to prescribe some or all controlled substances). Physicians may lose their DEA registration if they lose their license to practice medicine in the state, and, moreover, the DEA itself can investigate, and participate in the arrest and prosecution of, physicians who violate controlled substance laws. Example cases can be found on the DEA web site [14].

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has also taken measures to address the growing problem of prescription drug abuse. The Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007 granted the agency the authority to require companies to develop a risk evaluations and mitigation strategy (REMS) when the potential risks of a drug outweigh the benefits [15]. Extended-release or long-acting opioids currently have a REMS to manage the risk of accidental or intentional misuse and the risks to patients who are prescribed these drugs but do not need them. The strategy mainly requires sponsors of opioids to foot the bill for educating prescribers and patients on the risk of opioid mismanagement and proper prescribing, storage, and disposal practices [15]. At the same time, the FDA will monitor patient access to these drugs to ensure that patients receive proper pain management (and that the REMS does not dampen proper prescribing of controlled substances) [15].

President Obama has been active on this issue, launching an ongoing campaign to combat prescription drug abuse [1]. In addition to calling for a REMS for opioids, the campaign promotes youth and parent education, encourages research on patterns of abuse and successful abuse deterrents, increases tracking and monitoring of controlled substances, supports better resources for proper medication disposal, and provides increased resources to law enforcement to target improper prescribing practices and pill mills (clinics and physicians that prescribe controlled substances irresponsibly) [1].

Most recently, the Senate Finance Committee has begun investigating medical groups, physicians, and bioethicists who have advocated for increased use of narcotic and opioid painkillers to determine whether they received compensation or had inappropriate ties with drug manufacturers like Purdue Pharma and Johnson & Johnson [16].

### **State Regulatory Approaches**

Like the federal government, states have increased regulation of prescription drug use and abuse since the 1970s, and legislation in this area continues to develop.

Most states have general prohibitions against the obtaining of drugs through fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation that date back as far as the Uniform Narcotic Drug Act of 1932 and the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 [17]. These are broad prohibitions intended to cover a range of bad actors—patients, physicians, or persons selling drugs for profit [17]. In addition to bans and penalties for fraud, at least 43 states have prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMPs), most funded by the federal government's Department of Justice, that monitor who is writing and filling prescriptions in an effort to flag fraudulent activity [18]. There are also wide-ranging regulations that attempt to put legal limits on the amount of controlled substance prescribed, dispensed, or refilled. Examples include limits on number of refills, limits on the quantity of pills dispensed in one refill, limits on how long after a drug has been prescribed it can be filled, and limits on the types of personnel who can dispense certain quantities of drugs [19].

Some state laws target abuse and diversion by restricting behaviors like intentionally withholding information from physicians and doctor shopping, in which patients seek prescriptions from different clinicians [17]. Some states require that patients show an ID to fill prescriptions [20]. A small number of states (Alaska, Maryland, New Mexico, and Washington) have some sort of immunity from prosecution or reduced sentencing for people who seek emergency help for an overdose (either for themselves or for another) [21].

Other laws apply specifically to physicians. A large majority of states require physicians to conduct a physical exam, take a patient history, or both to ensure medical need before prescribing controlled substances [22]. Some states require physicians to use tamper-resistant prescription pads with features like watermarks, serial numbers or logos, or chemically resistant paper that make it more difficult to forge or falsify prescriptions [23]. And some states, like Florida, Louisiana, and Texas, create special rules and burdens for pain clinics that may include special registration, state inspections and investigations of complaints, and requirement that the pain clinic be owned and operated by a practitioner certified in pain management who does not have a record of felonies or disciplinary action for improper prescribing [24].

State medical boards also play a key role with physician behavior. The Federation of State Medical Boards' model policy to guide state medical boards in their review of physicians' pain management practices recommends: proper medical evaluation of a patient including a history and physical; a written treatment plan that clearly states the objectives of treatment; a discussion of the risks and benefits of treatment with the patient, including patient responsibilities like urine drug screening, reasons why therapy might be discontinued, and limits on refills; periodic review of efficacy and consideration of other treatment modalities; clear documentation in medical records; and compliance with applicable state and federal law [25].

Regulations at the state level change frequently, and more bills are continuously being introduced to target this epidemic. A review of latest developments can be found at the National Conference of State Legislatures web site [26].

## References

1. Executive Office of the President of the United States. *Epidemic: Responding to America's Prescription Drug Abuse Crisis*.  
[http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/ondcp/issues-content/prescription-drugs/rx\\_abuse\\_plan.pdf](http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/ondcp/issues-content/prescription-drugs/rx_abuse_plan.pdf). Accessed March 21, 2013.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Poisoning in the United States: fact sheet.  
<http://www.cdc.gov/homeandrecrereationalsafety/poisoning/poisoning-factsheet.htm>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
3. Hohenstein K. Just what the doctor ordered: the Harrison Anti-Narcotic Act, the Supreme Court, and the federal regulation of medical practice, 1915-1919. *J Supreme Ct History* 2001;26(3):231.
4. Minnesota Historical Society. Prohibition & the Volstead Act.  
[http://www.mnhs.org/library/tips/history\\_topics/103prohibition.html](http://www.mnhs.org/library/tips/history_topics/103prohibition.html). Accessed March 21, 2013.
5. Controlled Substances Act, 21 USC section 801 et seq (1970).
6. Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA). 1970-1975.  
<http://www.justice.gov/dea/about/history/1970-1975.pdf>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
7. Drug Enforcement Administration. DEA history.  
<http://www.justice.gov/dea/about/history.shtml>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
8. DEA Office of Diversion Control. Question: What does a practitioner/physician need to obtain before he/she can complete an application for a DEA registration?  
<http://www.dea diversion.usdoj.gov/drugreg/faq.htm#1>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
9. Prescriptions, 21 CFR section 1306.04-06 (2013).
10. DEA Office of Diversion Control. Practitioner's manual: section v – valid prescription requirements.  
<http://www.dea diversion.usdoj.gov/pubs/manuals/pract/section5.htm>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
11. Prescriptions, 21 USC section 829 (2013).  
<http://www.dea diversion.usdoj.gov/21cfr/21usc/829.htm>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
12. Practitioner responsibilities, 21 CFR 1311.102 (2013).
13. Other security controls for practitioners, 21 CFR 1301.76(b) (2013).
14. DEA Office of Diversion Control. Cases against doctors.  
[http://www.dea diversion.usdoj.gov/crim\\_admin\\_actions/doctors\\_criminal\\_cases.pdf](http://www.dea diversion.usdoj.gov/crim_admin_actions/doctors_criminal_cases.pdf). Updated April 3, 2012. Accessed March 21, 2013.
15. US Food and Drug Administration. What is a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy?

- <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/InformationbyDrugClass/ucm309742.htm#Q3>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
16. Baucus, Grassley Seek answers about opioid manufacturers' ties to medical groups [news release]. Washington, DC: US Senate Committee on Finance; March 8, 2012.  
<http://www.finance.senate.gov/newsroom/chairman/release/?id=021c94cd-b93e-4e4e-bcf4-7f4b9fae0047>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
  17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Law: doctor shopping.  
[http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/dr\\_shopping.html](http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/dr_shopping.html). Accessed March 21, 2013.
  18. National Alliance for Model State Drug Laws. Status of state prescription drug monitoring programs.  
<http://www.namsdl.org/documents/PMPPProgramStatus01022013.pdf>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
  19. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Law: prescription limits.  
[http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/rx\\_limits.html](http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/rx_limits.html). Accessed March 21, 2013.
  20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Law: requiring patient identification before dispensing.  
[http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/id\\_req.html](http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/id_req.html). Accessed March 21, 2013.
  21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Law: providing immunity from prosecution or mitigation at sentencing for individuals seeking assistance during an overdose.  
<http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/immunity.html>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
  22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Law: physical exam required.  
<http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/exam.html>. Updated July 9, 2012. Accessed March 21, 2013.
  23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Law: tamper-resistant forms.  
<http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/forms.html>. Accessed March 21, 2013.
  24. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Law: regulating pain clinics.  
[http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/pain\\_clinic.html](http://www.cdc.gov/HomeandRecreationalSafety/Poisoning/laws/pain_clinic.html). Accessed March 21, 2013.
  25. Federation of State Medical Boards. Model policy for the use of controlled substances for the treatment of pain [revised 2004].  
[http://www.fsmb.org/pdf/2004\\_grpol\\_Controlled\\_Substances.pdf](http://www.fsmb.org/pdf/2004_grpol_Controlled_Substances.pdf). Accessed March 21, 2013.
  26. National Conference of State Legislatures. Prevention of prescription drug overuse and abuse. <http://www.ncsl.org/issues-research/health/prevention-of-prescription-drug-overdose-and-abuse.aspx>. Accessed March 21, 2013.

Valarie Blake, JD, MA, is the senior research associate for the American Medical Association's Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs in Chicago. Ms. Blake completed the Cleveland Fellowship in Advanced Bioethics, received her law degree

with a certificate in health law and concentrations in bioethics and global health from the University of Pittsburgh School of Law, and obtained a master's degree in bioethics from Case Western Reserve University. Her research focuses on ethical and legal issues in assisted reproductive technology and reproductive tissue transplants, as well as regulatory issues in research ethics.

**Related in VM**

[Drug Seeking or Pain Crisis? Responsible Prescribing of Opioids in the Emergency Department](#), May 2013

[Use of Narcotics Contracts](#), May 2013

[Long-Term Opioid Treatment](#), May 2013

[Common Misconceptions about Opioid Use for Pain Management at the End of Life](#), May 2013

*The viewpoints expressed on this site are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.*

Copyright 2013 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.