

Virtual Mentor

American Medical Association Journal of Ethics
December 2003, Volume 5, Number 12: 535-538.

CASE AND COMMENTARY

Offensive Music in the OR, Commentary 2

Commentary by Kenneth M. Sutin, MD

Case

As Priya, a third-year medical student, and the urology resident wait for the anesthesiologist to sedate the middle-aged patient scheduled for a prostatectomy that afternoon, the attending surgeon enters the operating room and announces that he has brought music to be played during the procedure. This is not atypical; many surgeons prefer to have some music playing in the room while they operate, and Priya expects to hear the classic rock or jazz that has accompanied other operations that she has seen.

She is startled when she hears police sirens and profanities being shouted from the CD player. As the bass line of a gangster rap song starts, the attending surgeon steps out to scrub. While Priya washes and prepares the patient, she is shocked by the liberal use of profanity and racial slurs in the lyrics of the song. She reads the reactions of other members of the operating team as they go about their work, shaking their heads or rolling their eyes. Priya decides that she is not the only one who finds the choice of music inappropriate and turns down the volume of the CD player. Priya would rather have turned the music off all together but hesitates to do so because this was the selection of the attending surgeon on the case.

When the attending surgeon returns to the room, he asks in a confrontational tone, "Who turned down my music? Does this offend someone? If you are offended, just stand up and say so, and I'll turn it off." He looks around at the anesthesiologist, the resident, the scrub nurse, and Priya, who all stand in silence. Priya finds it hard to believe that he cannot sense the discomfort sitting heavily in the room. She cannot believe that he really thinks this music is appropriate. But no one speaks up. No one asks that the music be changed. Seconds later, the surgeon asks the circulating nurse to restore the volume on the radio as he dresses for the procedure.

Commentary 2

*For the Truth is that we are kind for the same reason as we are cruel,
in order that we may enhance the sense of our own Power.*

-Aldous Huxley

I will share my impressions of this scenario from my perspective as an attending anesthesiologist at an academic medical center. In the operating room (OR), it is rare that a medical student would ever turn down a radio (unless specifically asked

to do so); so the fact that Priya did turn down the radio tells me that she was offended by the music's content. Turning down the radio while the surgeon is out of the room is a passive response. It does not deal with the issue, and a better response would be for the student to ask the surgeon directly to please turn the radio off. Although the urology attending asked if anyone was offended by the music, he should have figured this out from the lowered volume when he returned from the scrub sink. He should have understood this cue to turn off the music. Also, the surgeon is clearly expressing some reservations regarding the music when he asks, "Who turned down my music? Is anyone offended by this?" Failure of the medical student to stand up to the surgeon does not necessarily imply passive approval. The surgeon is in a position of authority, and the student may fear retaliation. The failure of an earlier intervention on the part of the anesthesiologist (or nurse) put Priya in an awkward situation where she felt compelled to intercede. Finally, as a last resort, if the profane music persists, the student has the right to voluntarily excuse herself from the OR. Before leaving, she should explain to the attending why she is leaving and immediately report the incident to the Dean for Medical Student Affairs.

As the advocate for the patient, the surgeon, the nurses, the residents, and the medical students in the operating room, I consider it my personal responsibility and privilege to ensure that the OR environment is conducive to the safe conduct of surgery and anesthesia and to the education of all students. If the radio volume is too loud, it may impair the ability of the anesthesiologist to detect ventilator or monitor alarms that are designed to protect the patient. I always insist that the radio be turned off during critical parts of the anesthesia (eg, induction of general anesthesia). Clearly, it is in everyone's best interest to adjust the volume according to the circumstances. In fact, many hospitals do not permit radios in the OR.

Certainly, if the content of the material being broadcast were of a questionable nature, I would insist that it be changed. If an overbearing surgeon insists on playing offensive music, I would have no other recourse than to turn off the radio, despite any objections. It is my responsibility to ensure that the sanctity of the work environment is preserved. It is too easy for students to subjugate their individual rights to the freedom of expression implicitly demanded by an overbearing music enthusiast. I would not blame the student for not speaking up to the surgeon for fear of retribution. I would blame myself, however, if I did not have the insight to appreciate that situation. Music that contains potentially offensive content should never be played in the private community of the OR. Remember, an awake patient (eg, at the start of surgery or during the entire surgery) may also be offended by the music, and the patient (who may have an altered level of consciousness due to sedatives or opioids) has rights that must be protected. The proper function of the OR requires teamwork and the optimal functioning of all involved in patient care. If a caregiver with a vital role in patient care is distracted by the lyrical content, I must be concerned that his or her performance in the OR may be suboptimal and that there may be adverse consequences.

The atavistic legacy of abusive "indoctrination" to medicine is unacceptable by today's university, hospital, local, state, and federal standards.¹ When I was a resident in training in Philadelphia, I was subjected to abuse on a few occasions. I remember the situations quite clearly, and I recalled thinking that this cycle of abuse must stop, even though it seemed to be a rite of passage. Also, it was made very clear to me that if I said one word, my evaluation for the rotation would reflect my "inappropriate" complaint, rather than my clinical skills and hard work. It was wrong then, and it is wrong today.

Although medical student abuse is wrong, it does occur, albeit much less often than in prior years. According to the Association of American Medical Colleges' 2003 poll of graduating medical students at 125 US medical schools,² the most common form of medical student mistreatment is public humiliation or belittling; this was reported by 59.6 percent of respondents as occurring more than once. In contrast, only 11.6 percent of respondents reported being subjected to racially or ethnically offensive remarks or names directed at them personally on more than 1 occasion. The identified source of mistreatment was most often the clinical faculty (in the hospital) or the house staff. Fear of reprisal was the most common reason why an episode of mistreatment was not reported (47.2 percent). Acts of severe abuse are much less common now than a decade ago.³

So what has changed since the time I was a medical student? You can voice your complaint, expect it to be acted on, and not fear retaliation. Just as excessive resident work hours have been reformed, so has there been a significant change and redefinition of what is considered to be unacceptable behavior. Fortunately, I believe we are pretty far along in the process of reforming the culture of medicine that closed its eyes to abuse of subordinate students and junior colleagues.

References

1. American Medical Student Association Web site. AMSA advocacy guide: understanding and preserving your student rights. Accessed October 10, 2003.
2. Association of American Medical Colleges Web site. Medical school graduation questionnaire, all schools report, 2003. Accessed October 10, 2003.
3. Silver HK, Glick AD. Medical student abuse. Incidence, severity, and significance. *JAMA*. 1990;263(4):527-532.

Kenneth M. Sutin, MD completed his anesthesiology residency and critical care fellowship at NYU. He is a full-time anesthesiologist, specializing in neuroanesthesiology and critical care at Bellevue Hospital in New York City. He is the director of medical student education for anesthesiology and is actively involved in both medical student and resident education.

The people and events in this case are fictional. Resemblance to real events or to names of people, living or dead, is entirely coincidental. The viewpoints expressed on this site are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.

Copyright 2003 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.