



## AMA Journal of Ethics®

July 2025, Volume 27, Number 7: E481-483

### FROM THE EDITOR

#### What Makes Rural EMS in the US a Health Equity Concern?

Molly Nguyen, MD and Tanya Shenoy, MD

Emergency medical services (EMS) play critical roles in responding as soon as possible to health care needs of individuals across the United States, particularly in areas where access to a broad spectrum of critical health services is limited.<sup>1</sup> In many rural regions of the United States, EMS is often the first and most immediate point of contact for patients with urgent health needs, as it encompasses a network of transporters, first responders, emergency medical technicians, paramedics, and medical directors. However, despite their essential functions, rural EMS systems face challenges to an extent that health equity in many rural communities is severely compromised.

Geographic isolation is one of the barriers affecting rural EMS. Many rural communities are situated far from major hospitals or specialized medical services, which complicates the delivery of timely emergency care and can lead to poorer health outcomes for these patients.<sup>1,2</sup> This isolation is compounded by technological and **infrastructure limitations** that hinder the effectiveness and efficiency of emergency responses.<sup>1</sup> In particular, rural areas frequently grapple with inadequate or outdated medical equipment, limited access to advanced communication technologies, and insufficient infrastructure to support comprehensive emergency care.<sup>1</sup>

Additionally, rural EMS programs often operate on restricted budgets that are inadequate to cover the full range of operational needs.<sup>1</sup> This financial strain limits their ability to invest in essential resources, maintain updated equipment, and support ongoing training for personnel. Consequently, many rural EMS programs rely heavily on volunteers, who, while dedicated, are not always equipped to respond to a sufficiently full range of complex, emergent needs of patients.<sup>3,4</sup> On the other hand, low call volume in some rural areas can mean that professional EMS responders do not field sufficient numbers of cases to maintain their readiness to practice the full range of skills they developed during training.<sup>3,4</sup> In both cases, EMS staffing problems can compromise quality of care and negatively influence patient outcomes.

While **technological advancements** such as air transport and telehealth offer some solutions to the challenges posed by geographic remoteness or low population density, they are, in many cases, not substitutes for ground transport and hands-on care of sufficient quality to meet many patients' urgent needs. Air transport, although beneficial for rapid patient transfers, is costly and not always available. Telehealth can facilitate

consultation and follow-up but cannot replace the immediate, hands-on responses required during emergencies.

This issue of the *AMA Journal of Ethics* explores the multifaceted nature of rural EMS and its implications for health equity. Contributors address clinical, legal, and policy questions that are often overlooked in discussions of bioethics and health equity. Key topics include infrastructural and **financial limitations** on health service delivery, care quality, ethical implications of overreliance on volunteers, and policy reforms needed to enhance the sustainability and effectiveness of rural emergency services.

## References

1. King N, Pigman M, Huling S, Hanson B. EMS services in rural America: challenges and opportunities. National Rural Health Association; 2019. Accessed November 4, 2024. <https://www.ruralhealth.us/getmedia/cc0078fa-14d2-47eb-98a6-2bb6722e540c/2019-NRHA-Policy-Documents-EMS-Services-in-Rural-America-Challenges-and-Opportunities.pdf>
2. Alanazy ARM, Wark S, Fraser J, Nagle A. Factors impacting patient outcomes associated with use of emergency medical services operating in urban versus rural areas: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(10):1728.
3. Patterson DG, Skillman SM, Fordyce MA. Prehospital emergency medical services personnel in rural areas: results from a survey in nine states. WWAMI Rural Health Research Center; 2015. Final report 149. Accessed November 4, 2024. [https://depts.washington.edu/uwrhrc/uploads/RHRC\\_FR149\\_Patterson.pdf](https://depts.washington.edu/uwrhrc/uploads/RHRC_FR149_Patterson.pdf)
4. Freeman VA, Rutledge S, Hamon M, Slifkin RT. Rural volunteer EMS: reports from the field. North Carolina Rural Health Research and Policy Analysis Center; 2010. Final report 99. Accessed November 4, 2024. <https://www.shepscenter.unc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/FR99.pdf>

**Molly Nguyen, MD** was a medical student at the University of Mississippi School of Medicine when she co-edited the July 2025 issue on rural emergency medical services. She plans to pursue a career in community psychiatry, with a focus on immigrant mental health, LGBTQ+ care, and child and adolescent psychiatry.

**Tanya Shenoy, MD** was a medical student at the University of Mississippi School of Medicine when she co-edited the July 2025 issue on rural emergency medical services. She plans to pursue a career in diagnostic radiology. Her interests include healthcare disparities, sustainability, and technology in medicine.

**Citation**

*AMA J Ethics.* 2025;27(7):E481-483.

**DOI**

10.1001/amajethics.2025.481.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosure**

Contributors disclosed no conflicts of interest relevant to the content.

*The viewpoints expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.*