

American Medical Association Journal of Ethics

November 2015, Volume 17, Number 11: 1040-1043

MEDICAL EDUCATION

Teaching High-Value Care

Aditya Ashok and Brandon Combs, MD

Introduction

The United States spends more money on health care than any other country and yet lags in most performance assessment dimensions, according to a recent report by the Commonwealth Fund [1]. Donald M. Berwick and Andrew D. Hackbarth estimated that, in 2011, between \$158 and \$226 billion was spent on the provision of health care that was unneeded or unwanted [2]. In a nationally representative survey of US primary care physicians, 42 percent reported believing that their own practices' patients are getting excessive care [3].

Following Michael E. Porter and Thomas H. Lee, we define value as "health outcomes achieved that matter to patients relative to the cost of achieving those outcomes" [4]. It is important, however, to distinguish between value and cost. High-cost care, such as antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection, can still deliver good value if the net benefits justify the costs [5]. And some low-cost interventions may provide low value. Amir Qaseem and colleagues identify preoperative chest radiography in patients who are healthy and without symptoms as both low-cost and low-value [5].

There is growing enthusiasm for incorporating high-value care (HVC) curricula into the training of medical students, [resident physicians](#), and attending physicians. High-value care has been recognized as an important teaching topic by the Alliance for Academic Internal Medicine (AAIM), the American College of Physicians (ACP), and the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) [6]. Furthermore, prominent centers such as the Institute for Strategy and Competitiveness at Harvard Business School and the Center for Healthcare Value at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) study value in health care. By 2017, the AAIM, the ABIM, the ABIM Foundation, and the ACP aim to establish the practice of high-value care as a key competency within medical education [7]. The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) and the ABIM have also indicated that cost awareness is an important component of residency training [8]. Steven E. Weinberger has proposed separating cost awareness from the competency of "systems-based practice" and making it the basis of a seventh ACGME core competency that would also include resource stewardship [9].

Here, we explore initiatives that incorporate HVC principles into medical training.

Medical Students as Change Agents

UCSF recently awarded a proposal to better integrate value assessments into undergraduate medical education [10]. The proposal's goal is to give third-year medical students on internal medicine rotations an assigned role in promoting high-value care: that of HVC officers empowered to start discussions about HVC with other medical staff. The training emphasizes interventions based on the ABIM Foundation's "Choosing Wisely" campaign, and the curriculum will accord with the current goals of the UCSF Division of Hospital Medicine. The students will receive a 30-minute orientation lecture, short videos, and training at the beginning of the internal medicine clerkship [10].

Martin Muntz piloted a similar program at the Medical College of Wisconsin, for which he and his team were recognized in the Costs of Care and ABIM Foundation Teaching Value and Choosing Wisely Challenge [11]. In that program, students are educated on instances of overuse, such as unnecessary telemetry monitoring or avoidable blood transfusions, and then asked to serve as high-value care officers on internal medicine clerkships [12].

This program and others like it help make the students' role in promoting value more explicit. Buy-in from clerkship directors, residents, and attending physicians on rounds will be important in growing such initiatives. It would be unfortunate if time pressures, a focus on hierarchy, or resistance to change led to team members' being dismissive of the HVC officers' suggestions. In other words, the learning environment itself must be considered.

Taking Advantage of the Crowd

Crowdsourcing ideas may also be a way to effect change on this issue. Neel Shah and colleagues employed crowdsourcing methods to identify novel approaches to teaching value from across North America in the Teaching Value and Choosing Wisely Challenge [13]. They received 74 submissions from students, residents, faculty members, and nonclinical administrators. Of the submissions, 15 addressed undergraduate medical education, 39 addressed graduate medical education, and 20 addressed both [13].

The Do No Harm Project at the University of Colorado School of Medicine also takes advantage of others' experiences. Through this initiative, medical trainees are asked to submit clinical vignettes that highlight the avoidable harms that can result from medical overuse to facilitate a culture change in the practice of medicine [14]. Similarly, in 2014, *JAMA Internal Medicine* launched a section called Teachable Moments that features clinical vignettes describing examples of low-value care submitted by clinical trainees around the world [15]. This series is available to individuals at all stages of training, which allows for broad engagement.

Conclusion

Clinical trainees are the future of health care delivery, and failure to engage them in pursuing high-value care may perpetuate wasteful health care spending and avoidable patient harms. Further research is required to demonstrate the efficacy of educational interventions in improving quality and reducing costs and to identify the most promising approaches.

References

1. Davis K, Stremikis K, Squires D, Schoen C. Mirror, mirror on the wall, 2014 update: how the US health care system compares internationally. *The Commonwealth Fund*. June 16, 2014. <http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2014/jun/mirror-mirror>. Accessed August 14, 2015.
2. Berwick DM, Hackbarth AD. Eliminating waste in US health care. *JAMA*. 2012;307(14):1513–1516.
3. Sirovich BE, Woloshin S, Schwartz LM. Too little? Too much? Primary care physicians' views on US health care: a brief report. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171(17):1582–1585.
4. Porter ME, Lee TH. The strategy that will fix health care. *Harvard Business Review*. October 2013. <https://hbr.org/2013/10/the-strategy-that-will-fix-health-care/>. Accessed August 14, 2015.
5. Qaseem A, Alguire P, Dallas P, et al. Appropriate use of screening and diagnostic tests to foster high-value, cost-conscious care. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;156(2):147–149.
6. Muntz MD, Thomas JG, Quirk KL, Thapa B, Frank MO. Clerkship students as high-value care officers increased awareness and practice of cost-conscious care. Abstracts from the Proceedings of the 2014 Annual Meeting of the Clerkship Directors of Internal Medicine (CDIM). *Teach Learn Med*. 2015;27(3):349–350.
7. Smith CD, Levinson WS; Internal Medicine HVC Advisory Board. A commitment to high-value care education from the internal medicine community. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;162(9):639–640.
8. Fogerty RL, Heavner JJ, Moriarty JP, Sofair AN, Jenq G. Novel integration of systems-based practice into internal medicine residency programs: the Interactive Cost-Awareness Resident Exercise (I-CARE). *Teach Learn Med*. 2014;26(1):90–94.
9. Weinberger SE. Providing high-value, cost-conscious care: a critical seventh general competency for physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 2011;155(6):386–388.
10. Jensen T. Medical students as high-value care officers. University of California San Francisco Center for Healthcare Value. Revised July 7, 2015. <https://open-proposals.ucsf.edu/teaching-choose-wisely/proposal/13484>. Accessed August 14, 2015.

11. American Board of Internal Medicine Foundation. Winners named in Teaching Value and Choosing Wisely Challenge [news release]. Philadelphia, PA: ABIM Foundation; March 5, 2015. <http://www.abimfoundation.org/News/ABIM-Foundation-News/2015/Winners-of-Teaching-Value-Choosing-Wisely-Challenge.aspx>. Accessed August 14, 2015.
12. Association of American Medical Colleges. Third-year medical students as health value officers: a systematic approach to improving care and reducing costs. May 13, 2015. <https://www.mededportal.org/icollaborative/resource/3929>. Accessed September 4, 2015.
13. Shah N, Levy AE, Moriates C, Arora VM. Wisdom of the crowd: bright ideas and innovations from the teaching value and choosing wisely challenge. *Acad Med*. 2015;90(5):624-628.
14. University of Colorado School of Medicine Department of Medicine. Welcome to the Do No Harm Project. <http://www.ucdenver.edu/academics/colleges/medicalschoo/department/s/medicine/GIM/education/DoNoHarmProject/Pages/Welcome.aspx>. Accessed August 14, 2015.
15. Caverly TJ, Combs BP, Moriates C, Shah N, Grady D. Too much medicine happens too often: the teachable moment and a call for manuscripts from clinical trainees. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014;174(1):8-9.

Aditya Ashok is a second-year medical student at Harvard Medical School in Boston. A Harry S. Truman and Marshall Scholar, Aditya has interests in value-based medicine and medical education.

Brandon Combs, MD, is an assistant professor of medicine at the University of Colorado School of Medicine in Aurora and a senior fellow for medical education at the Lown Institute. A primary care physician and general internist, he is actively involved in medical student and resident education in both inpatient and ambulatory settings. Dr. Combs co-founded the Do No Harm Project in 2012 and is a section editor of the Teachable Moments section in *JAMA Internal Medicine*.

Related in the *AMA Journal of Ethics*

[Cost-Consciousness in Teaching Hospitals](#), November 2015

[Teaching Resource Allocation—And Why It Matters](#), April 2011

The viewpoints expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.

**Copyright 2015 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.
ISSN 2376-6980**