Virtual Mentor. February 2004, Volume 6, Number 2. doi: 10.1001/virtualmentor.2004.6.2.cprl1-0402

Clinical Pearl

Indications for Bypass Surgery

Preventing coronary artery disease should be a top priority as the disease incidence increases with an aging population, but the condition can be managed with both medical and surgical treatments supported by lifestyle changes.

Jennifer Reenan, MD

The defining feature of coronary artery disease is a focal narrowing in the vascular endothelium, which impedes the flow of blood to the myocardium. Atherosclerotic plaque forms the principal lesion, which is composed of a necrotic center containing cell debris, cholesterol, and calcium. Outlining the necrotic center is a fibrous cap made of proliferated smooth muscle cells, connective tissue, and lipids.

Although there are many identifiable risk factors for atherosclerosis, none of them constitutes a known mechanism for the pathogenesis of coronary artery disease. The widely accepted "response to injury" theory proposes that the initial stimulus is an injury to the arterial endothelium. Damaged endothelial cells release various chemotactic factors and stimulate the deposition of fatty material. If the process is not reversed, mature fibrous plaques will obstruct the arterial lumen.

Angina Pectoris

Angina pectoris is the main clinical consequence of decreased blood flow in the coronary arteries. It is characterized by chest pain or pressure often described by patients as crushing or squeezing. One of the most important features of angina is that it is typically brought on by physical exertion.

The New York Heart Association (NYHA) has developed a functional classification system that is commonly used by physicians to assess the severity of angina ranging from Class I angina, which is brought on only with unusually strenuous activity, to Class IV, angina experienced while one is at rest.

There are several types of anginal syndromes. Stable angina describes a predictable pattern of anginal symptoms. Unstable angina is more worrisome as it may presage a myocardial infarction. Angina is classified as unstable when there is a change in either the frequency, duration, or severity of the attacks. Symptoms occurring at rest are also considered unstable and are particularly alarming. Prinzmetal's angina is a variant type of pain, typically occurring at night, which is suspected to occur as a result of transient coronary spasm.

Symptomless coronary heart disease does occur, especially in diabetic patients. Without the warning symptoms of angina, the first presentation of coronary heart disease may be a potentially deadly heart attack.

Diagnosis of Angina Pectoris

Patient history alone can lead quickly to a diagnosis of angina pectoris, and noninvasive testing options can confirm the diagnosis, preferably by means of a stress test. A resting electrocardiogram may be taken but is likely to be normal in the absence of pain and in patients with no previous history of myocardial infarction. Stress electrocardiography,

78

recorded while the patient performs some sort of physical exercise, helps detect and evaluate the severity of myocardial ischemia. A detailed discussion on the wide variability in the sensitivity and specificity of exercise stress testing is available in the "ACC/AHA Guidelines For Exercise Testing," the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force report on exercise stress testing [1].

The standard exercise stress test is considered low yield in certain patients including those with existing EKG abnormalities such as left bundle branch blocks or left ventricular hypertrophy. A nuclear stress test is an excellent alternative to the standard stress test. Thallium, a radioactive substance, is injected into the bloodstream during peak exercise and a gamma camera is used to visualize the heart and its blood supply. Pharmacologic stress tests utilizing dobutamine, adenosine, and dypyridamole are other alternatives and are suited for patients who are unable to exercise or who have deep venous thrombosis.

Cardiac catheterization is the gold standard test for the diagnosis of coronary artery disease and is used to determine whether medical or surgical therapy is more appropriate and to plan revascularization surgery [2]. When the patient's history suggests congestive heart failure or when a revascularization procedure is being contemplated, an echocardiogram is appropriate [2].

Medical Management

Most cases of chronic stable angina in patients who are considered to be at low risk for myocardial infarct (ie, single-vessel disease not affecting the left main stem artery) can be managed without surgical intervention. Drug therapy can reduce ischemic pain, minimize the frequency and severity of ischemic episodes, prevent serious complications (myocardial infarction), and improve the quality of life. For a good overview of the medical management of chronic stable angina, see an article published in the American Family Physician in January 2000 [2]. Important items in the drug therapy arsenal are:

- Nitrates,
- Beta-adrenergic blockers,
- Calcium channel blockers,
- Aspirin, and
- Lipid-lowering agents.

The management of unstable angina or angina at rest (Class IV) initially involves treatment with bed rest, intravenous heparin, and aspirin. When the patient is stable, cardiac catheterization is performed to assess the degree of stenosis and plan revascularization procedures.

Percutaneous Coronary Interventions

Percutaneous Coronary interventions (PCI) are invasive procedures during which a small balloon-tipped catheter is inserted into either a femoral or brachial artery and threaded up to the obstructing lesion in the coronary artery. When the balloon is inflated, the plaque is flattened against the endothelial wall, the artery is dilated, and blood flow to the myocardium is increased. Small wire stents can be inserted at the site to maintain dilation and prevent restenosis [3].

Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery

More serious cases of coronary artery disease require coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), a procedure designed to restore blood flow to the myocardium. Performed since the late 1960s, this is now one of the most common operations in the United States—up to 500,000 are done yearly.

Indications for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery

The 1999 Guidelines for CABG Surgery developed by the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association list the following 6 conditions as indications for CABG in patients with stable angina [4]:

- 1. Significant left main coronary artery stenosis.
- 2. Left main equivalent: significant (70 percent) stenosis of the proximal left anterior descending (LAD) and proximal left circumflex arteries.
- 3. Three-vessel disease.
- 4. Two-vessel disease with significant proximal LAD stenosis and either ejection fraction <0.50 or demonstrable ischemia on noninvasive testing.
- 5. One- or 2-vessel stenosis without significant proximal LAD stenosis, but with a large area of viable myocardium and high-risk criteria on noninvasive testing.
- 6. Disabling angina despite maximal noninvasive therapy, when surgery can be performed with acceptable risk.

During the procedure, the clogged coronary artery is "bypassed" by grafting a vessel (usually the patient's own saphenous vein or internal mammary artery) around the lesion. The surgery traditionally requires that the heart be stopped while the patient is connected to a heart-lung machine, which oxygenates and circulates the blood in place of the pumping heart. Innovations in surgical technique allow for minimally invasive bypass surgery and avoid the use of the heart-lung pump.

The chief anatomical indications for CABG are the presence of triple-vessel disease, severe left main stem artery stenosis, or left main equivalent disease (ie, 70 percent or greater stenosis of left anterior descending and proximal left circumflex artery)—particularly if left ventricular function is impaired. Overall, scientific studies have shown that CABG improves long-term survival in these high-risk patients, while also relieving their symptoms of angina [4].

The benefits of CABG are not uncontested, however. For example, the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation (BARI) was a large, randomized controlled trial which looked at mortality in patients with multivessel disease who were treated with either CABG or the less invasive PCI. No statistical difference in survival rates was found at either 5 or 10 years with a single exception. Diabetic patients had a statistically significant lower mortality with CABG at 10 years [5]. In the 1990s, larger meta-analyses comparing short term outcomes (1-3 years) of PCI versus CABG also found no significant difference in rates of death [6]. It is important to note that BARI is often criticized for its inclusion criteria. Sixty percent of patients in the trial had moderate 2-vessel disease. Such patients would not be expected to benefit from CABG to the same extent as those with more severe anatomical disease.

What, then, can we say about the benefits of invasive therapies such as PCI and CABG? Invasive therapy is generally not recommended for low-risk patients with single-vessel disease unless they suffer significantly from angina or have failed medical therapy.

Low-risk patients with single-vessel disease who are not achieving desired anginal relief with medical therapy will likely improve both symptoms and quality of life with PCI. CABG has not been shown to improve survival in low-risk patients with single-vessel disease except those with left main or left main equivalent disease [3]. Second, those patients at high risk for complications (due the anatomic severity of their disease) who undergo CABG, will see a reduction in their risk of death [3]. Also, in these high-risk patients, CABG delivers a more immediate improvement in quality of life when compared to PCI and requires fewer repeat procedures [3].

For moderate risk patients (ie, 2-vessel disease), either invasive procedure may be used. Deciding between the procedures can be difficult and should be based on patient preference and risk factor analysis. However, a new meta-analysis study (which includes the BARI trial) prepared by physicians at Tufts-New England Medical Center suggests that CABG is associated with both decreased risk of mortality and greater symptom improvement at 5 years when compared to PCI. The researchers found a 1.9 percent absolute survival advantage favoring CABG at 5 years. Patients undergoing PCI were more likely to require repeat procedures and have more severe anginal symptoms compared to those who underwent bypass surgery [7].

Clearly, prevention of atherosclerotic coronary artery disease should be a top priority, given the rising incidence of this disease as the population ages. Both medical and surgical approaches to the management of coronary artery disease need to be supported by lifestyle changes.

References

- 1. Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Beasley JW, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for exercise testing. A report of the American College Of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee On Exercise Testing). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;30:260-311.
 - <u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 2. Zanger DR, Solomon AJ, Gersh BJ. Contemporary management of angina: part II. Medical management of chronic stable angina. *Am Fam Physician*. 2000;61:129-38.

 PubMed Google Scholar
- 3. Rihal CS, Raco DL, Gersh BJ, Yusef S. Indications for coronary artery bypass surgery and percutaneous coronary intervention in chronic stable angina: review of the evidence and methodological considerations. *Circulation*. 2003;108:2439-2445.
 - View Article PubMed Google Scholar
- 4. Eagle KA, Guyton RA, Davidoff R, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: executive summary and recommendations: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Revise the 1991 Guidelines for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery). *Circulation*. 1999;100:1464-80.
 - View Article PubMed Google Scholar
- 5. The Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation Investigators. Comparison of coronary bypass surgery with angioplasty in patients with multivessel disease. *New Eng J Med.* 1996;335:217-225.

 View Article Google Scholar
- 6. Pocock SJ, Henderson RA, Rickards AF, et al. Meta-analysis of randomized trials comparing coronary angioplasty with bypass surgery. *Lancet*. 1995;346:1184-9.

 View Article Google Scholar
- 7. Hoffman SN, TenBrook JA, Wolf MP, Pauker SG, Salem DN, Wong JB. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing coronary artery bypass graft with percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty: one to eight year outcomes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;41:1293-1304.

 <u>View Article PubMed Google Scholar</u>

Resources

- American Heart Association. *Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2004 Update*. Dallas, TX: American Heart Association; 2003.
- Ross R. Atherosclerosis—an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med. 1999;340:115-126.
- Cannon CP. American Heart Association Scientific Sessions 2003. What's new in acute coronary syndromes.

The viewpoints expressed on this site are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.

© 2004 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.