Virtual Mentor. <u>January 2004</u>, Volume 6, Number 1. doi: 10.1001/virtualmentor.2004.6.1.medu1-0401

Medical Education

Courses in Research-Based Health Activism

Incorporating research-based health activism into the medical school curriculum can help physicians be more efficient advocates for patients.

Oren M. Tepper, MD, Peter Lurie, MD, MPH, and Sidney M. Wolfe, MD

This article has 2 premises: research-based health activism can help shape health care delivery and health policy, and teaching such advocacy techniques helps to nurture the health advocates of the future.

Health professionals bring many distinct advantages to the advocacy field. First, they may notice developing problems that have not yet reached public awareness. Second, they usually have better access to data sources than nonprofessionals. Last, health professionals are generally afforded greater authority in the health advocacy arena.

Unfortunately, most health professionals are never exposed to or trained in research-based health advocacy or related areas. Rothman argues that a curriculum that teaches advocacy skills along with diagnostic skills could help rebuild medical professionalism [1]. Others have encouraged medical schools to go beyond teaching clinical skills and to become actively involved in socially oriented health policy [2]. Advocacy research could raise the overall standard of health care and also serve as an effective means of responding to public health issues [3].

Because of the lack of appropriate advocacy training, some academic institutions are now pioneering courses in research-based health activism. The current article discusses courses that have taught advocacy skills through both lectures and hands-on experiences. It begins with a description of a course taught at the University of Michigan and then describes other courses that have been based, in part, on it.

The University of Michigan Course

In the fall of 1997, 17 undergraduate students took part in a course at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. The chief goal of the course was to carry out research projects that could ultimately influence health policy. Over the course of 1 semester, students were responsible for (1) identifying a public health problem amenable to a focused research project, (2) writing a 5-page research protocol, (3) applying to the Institutional Review Board (IRB), if necessary, (4) collecting and analyzing the data, and (5) presenting the project to the class in oral and written form. The class met twice a week for 2 hours and included formal lectures on topics in epidemiology, questionnaire design, and sample size calculation.

Appropriate projects tended to be those that could be conducted quickly and inexpensively, typically secondary data analyses or simple cross-sectional studies. After identifying their topics, students learned about previous research or activist efforts related to their topics through telephone calls to experts as well as Medline and Internet searches. After settling on a topic, each group of 2 to 3 students developed a 5-page research protocol describing the study design, subjects, recruitment methods, study variables, and statistical approach in detail. After the protocols were submitted at mid-term, students conducted pretests and began collecting data. About once a week, each group reported its progress; other students then offered suggestions on how to overcome any obstacles encountered. This format gave students an interest in each other's work, and they gained familiarity with research and advocacy techniques not directly relevant to their own projects. The course also included mock sessions in which students played the roles of radio, television, and print media interviewers and interviewees.

As the fall semester came to an end, most students wanted to continue their work. At their request, faculty member and member of the Public Citizen's Health Research Group, Peter Lurie, MD, MPH, offered a 2-credit independent study elective during the subsequent semester to 5 of the original 7 groups. Approximately every 2 weeks, the groups met with Dr. Lurie individually to work on the projects. There were also monthly potluck dinners at Dr. Lurie's house at which each group reported its progress, carrying over the interactive and collaborative pedagogic methods from the previous semester. Prior to each meeting, 1 group distributed its most recent write-up so that fellow students could prepare feedback. During these sessions, Dr. Lurie also led discussions on relevant topics that arose (eg, how to write medical journal articles).

Student projects addressed such topics as hepatitis B vaccination availability, sex education information on the Internet, cigarette labels in developing countries, and drug pricing (Table 1). The projects produced 3 peer-reviewed medical journal articles, significant press coverage, submission of comments to an international advisory body, and a press conference attended by a US congressman.

Examples of University of Michigan Student Projects Warnings on US Cigarette Packs in Foreign Countries

This project was a cross-sectional study of international cigarette pack warnings and took place while US policy makers were considering a comprehensive tobacco settlement that did not address international tobacco sales. The students joined GLOBALink, an Internet-based international tobacco activist network. They received data from 45 countries whose GLOBALink member described his or her country's law, or sent cigarette packs by mail, fax, or scanned as email attachments. The labels were then graded on a 0-10 content scale according to whether they included 10 particular health warnings.

In general, US tobacco companies did not go beyond compliance with inadequate local laws. The average content score for developing countries was 1.6, compared to 5.0 for developed countries. Developing country warning labels were somewhat smaller, more likely to be on the sides of the pack, less likely to mention tar or nicotine levels, and less likely to be part of a rotating system of labels.

The results were released as a report [4] at a press conference in Washington, DC, arranged by Public Citizen's Health Research Group and attended by Rep Lloyd Doggett of Texas, garnering modest television and print media attention [5,6]. The work was then published in the leading journal in the field, *Tobacco Control* [7], attracting additional press coverage [8-10]. The group also submitted comments based on its findings to the World Health Organization's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control.

Rates of Hepatitis B Vaccination Among Gay Men in Ann Arbor

Another group was interested in the health of gay men; Dr. Lurie suggested that they investigate hepatitis B vaccination rates. They designed a 25-item questionnaire and submitted their protocol to the IRB. Gay men were contacted through local gay organizations or functions: local bars, dance clubs, a swim team, a gay fraternity, and gay advocacy groups. Sixty questionnaires were completed by the end of the first semester and an additional 58 were added in the second semester.

Sixty-seven percent of the participants were aware of the hepatitis B vaccine, yet only 22 percent had received the full set of 3 injections. Of those not vaccinated, 58 percent indicated they would be very willing to do so. University Health Services were the sources of information on hepatitis B vaccines for only 14 percent of respondents, compared to 25 percent who named newspapers and magazines as information sources. An article describing these findings was published in the *Journal of American College Health* [11], and its release was covered in local newspapers [12,13]. The group sent a copy of the article to every University Health Service listed by the American College Health Association, urging them to conduct outreach to gay men.

Other Activist Courses

In 1999, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine established an activist course featuring guest lecturers. This has been the most consistently offered activist course to date. Over time, the focus of the course has shifted increasingly to the design and conduct of research-based advocacy, although the lecture series remains an integral component. Eleven journal articles have been published and 2 are in press on subjects ranging from the content of physician lobbying of Congress to the sudden growth of Internet sites selling ciprofloxacin following the anthrax outbreak [14-24].

In early 2001, Public Citizen received a grant from the Medicine as a Profession program of the Open Society Institute to expand the teaching of research-based advocacy. Table 2 describes 17 courses that have been or are being offered. Twelve of these are ongoing, including new courses at Yale, Tulane, and the University of Michigan medical schools. Syllabi for all the courses, including model curricula, are available online at:http://www.citizen.org/hrg/activistcour/index.cfm.

Almost half of the courses have required students to write protocols, and 3 have also required students to actually collect data. The course at the Albert Einstein College of Medicine brings in students from around the country for an intensive month-long course; almost all other courses are offered over several weeks or months. Some courses have emphasized media skills and direct action (eg, the 2 courses at the University of Pennsylvania), while others have been more research-oriented (University of North Carolina). Research from the latter course has been presented at a major medical meeting [25] and is currently under review at a medical journal.

Public Citizen acts as a consultant to the course directors by assisting in the design of the curriculum, identifying local faculty mentors, offering guest lectures, reviewing protocols during the course, and, where appropriate, assisting in dissemination. It also provides course grants in the range of \$2000 to \$4000 for materials preparation, guest speakers, and subject reimbursement. All projects involving human subjects are required to undergo review by a local IRB.

Discussion

The experiences described here illustrate the potential of research-based health activism as an instrument for both education and activist work. Such a course can benefit students even if they do not ultimately pursue a career in health activism. Being able to use and interpret biostatistics, write research protocols and journal-style papers, and present information to the lay public are useful tools in any health field. An activist course may also create a new career path or unmask an underlying interest in public health or epidemiology.

Health activist courses can be taught at 3 levels: (1) a basic course in which students learn about health activism through lectures and discussions, (2) an intermediate course in which students prepare research protocols but do not necessarily execute them, and (3) an advanced course in which students design and conduct their own research projects. The type of course offered will depend in part on instructor experience, the curricular structure, and the level of student education. A project-based course is clearly more time-consuming and difficult to teach, and therefore may not be feasible in many medical and public health schools. However, even undergraduate students, who are likely to be less experienced and thus may need more supervision, were able to complete some very successful projects. The Case Western medical school experience illustrates that more advanced students can be even more successful.

The instructor's range of experience is an important factor in determining the quality and scope of the course. One area where experience has proved especially crucial is helping students identify topics consistent with interests and practical within a limited time frame. The instructor, or a consultant, must also be able to help students assess the policy relevance of their project, identify other related activist work, and provide appropriate contact persons. Knowledge of research design, statistics, activism, and media relations also is needed. The instructor must be able to improvise lecture topics as the need arises because research-based health activism is often accompanied by unpredictable challenges that need to be addressed rapidly.

Clearly, incorporating new subject areas into already crowded health professions curricula, the structure of which vary from school to school, is a challenge. However, curriculum committees are opening their doors to the ideas and contributions of students in establishing new courses. In 1995, Dartmouth Medical School invited its students to organize electives addressing topics that were not being offered by the school. One group of students successfully

developed a women's health elective. An article describing their efforts stated that their "experience shows that medical students' personal involvement and their unique viewpoint can be invaluable additions to any medical education reform effort" [26]. Nine of the courses described here were initiated or run by medical students or residents, reinforcing the Dartmouth experience.

Developing a research-based health activism course is challenging and time-consuming. Our experience suggests, however, that it is both possible and worthwhile. It is our hope that this article provides a framework for the development of additional courses.

Acknowledgment

Special thanks to Max Heirich who cotaught the University of Michigan course. Thanks also to the students in the University of Michigan course: Macksood Aftab, Rehan Ahmed, Sarah Alvarez, Emily Gertz, Angela Hallfrisch, Sierra Hillebrand, Rose Jacobs, Debbie Kolben, Sarah Meyers, Katie Neighbors, Julie O'Brien, Chinwe Oraka, Lee Roosevelt, Linda Shih, Meghan Smith, Oren Tepper, and Lauren Teras and to the Inteflex Medical Program and Residential College that sponsored the University of Michigan undergraduate course. This work was partially funded by the University of Michigan Research Opportunity Program and the Medicine as a Profession Program of the Open Society Institute, David Rothman, Director.

Tables

Table 1: Student projects at the University of Michigan, 1997-98.

Table 2: Activist courses in the US, 1998-present.

References

- 1. Rothman DJ. Medical professionalism--focusing on the real issues. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:1284-6. View Article PubMed Google Scholar
- 2. Peabody JW. Measuring the social responsiveness of medical schools: setting the standards. *Acad Med.* 1999;74:S59-68.
 - View Article PubMed Google Scholar
- 3. White KL. Health care research: old wine in new bottles. *Pharos*. 1993;56:12-6. PubMed Google Scholar
- 4. Aftab M Kolben D, Lurie P, Wolfe SM. Smokescreen: double standards of US tobacco companies in international cigarette labeling. *Public Citizen Web site*. 1998. Available at: http://www.citizen.org/documents/1456.pdf. Accessed December 8, 2003.
- 5. US tobacco firms mislead foreigners, Nader allies say. *Richmond Times-Dispatch*. September 10, 1998.
- 6. Monroe N. US tobacco warnings not exported. *Austin American Statesman*. September 10, 1998. Google Scholar
- 7. Aftab M, Kolben D, Lurie P. International cigarette labelling practices. *Tob Control.* 1999;8:368-72. View Article PubMed Google Scholar
- 8. White C. Canada plans stronger health warnings on cigarette packs. *BMJ*. 2000;320:140. Google Scholar
- 9. Macdermid A. Tobacco firms under fire. *The Glasgow Herald*. January 6, 2000:5. Google Scholar
- Bagnall J. ERs suffer across Canada; a roundup of events, big and small, that made news this week. *The Montreal Gazette*. January 8, 2000:B2.
 Google Scholar
- 11. Neighbors K, Oraka C, Shih L, Lurie P. Awareness and utilization of the hepatitis B vaccine among young men in the Ann Arbor area who have sex with men. *J Am Coll Health*. 1999;47:173-8.

 View Article PubMed Google Scholar
- 12. Sheth T. Study finds vaccine rates low among local gay men. *Michigan Daily*. February 10, 1999:3.

Google Scholar

- 13. Study shows many local gay men not getting beneficial vaccine. Ann Arbor News. January 28, 1999:D1.
- 14. Alexander GC, Sehgal AR. Why hemodialysis patients fail to complete the transplantation process. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2001;37:321-8.

<u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>

15. Alexander GC, Sehgal AR. Variation in access to kidney transplantation across dialysis facilities: using process of care measures for quality improvement. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2002;40:824-31.

<u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>

16. Landers SH, Sehgal AR. How do physicians lobby their members of Congress? *Arch of Intern Med.* 2000;160:3248-51.

View Article PubMed Google Scholar

17. Sehgal AR, Dor A, Tsai AC. Morbidity and cost implications of inadequate hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2001;37:1223-31.

<u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>

18. Tsai AC, Lurie P, Sehgal AR. An outbreak of web sites selling ciprofloxacin following an outbreak of anthrax by mail. *Am J Med*. 2002;113:424-7.

View Article PubMed Google Scholar

19. Goyal M, Mehta RL, Schneiderman LJ, Sehgal AR. Economic and health consequences of selling a kidney in India. *JAMA*. 2002;288:1589-93.

View Article PubMed Google Scholar

20. Tsai AC. Concern about drug reps. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2002;21:296-7.

View Article PubMed Google Scholar

21. Tsai AC. Free software and physician profiling. JAMA. 2002; 287:45.

View Article Google Scholar

22. Tsai AC. Conflicts between commercial and scientific interests in pharmaceutical advertising for medical journals. *International Journal of Health Services*. 2003;33:751-68.

View Article PubMed Google Scholar

23. Tsai AC. Policies to regulate gifts to physicians from industry. JAMA. 2003;290:1776.

<u>View Article</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>

24. Tsai AC, Tamayo-Sarver JH, Cydulka RK, Baker DW. Declining payments for emergency department care, 1996-1998. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003;41:299-308.

View Article PubMed Google Scholar

25. Tran T, Sengupta S, Lurie P, Goodman R, Wolfe S. Violations of exhibiting rules at the 2002 American Psychiatric Association Annual Meeting. Presented at: 26th Annual Society for General Internal Medicine. Vancouver, British Columbia; 2002.

View Article PubMed Google Scholar

26. Atkins KM, Roberts AE, Cochran N. How medical students can bring about curricular change. *Acad Med*. 1998;73:1173-6.

View Article PubMed Google Scholar

Oren M. Tepper, MD, is currently doing surgery residency training in the NYU Medical Center Department of Surgery and Institute of Reconstructive Plastic Surgery.

Peter Lurie, MD, MPH, is deputy director of Public Citizen's Health Research Group, a Ralph Nader-founded advocacy group in Washington, DC. He has held faculty positions at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) and the University of Michigan.

Sidney M. Wolfe, MD, has been the director of Public Citizen's Health Research Group since 1971. Since 1995 he has been an adjunct professor of internal medicine at the Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine. He is currently a member of the Society for General Internal Medicine. His awards include receiving the MacArthur Foundation Fellowship in 1990.

The viewpoints expressed on this site are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.

©2004 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.