

MEDICINE AND SOCIETY

Ethics of Burn Wound Care in a Low-Middle Income Country

Shelley Wall, MBChB, Nikki Allorto, MBChB, Ross Weale, MBBS, Victor Kong, PhD, and Damian Clarke, PhD

Abstract

This review focuses on burn care in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). It attempts to put the burden of disease in perspective by showing that burn care is under-resourced across the spectrum of LMICs and by interrogating the ethical dilemmas and challenges that staff face in caring for burn patients in this environment, with a focus on South Africa. More specifically, it will attempt to address the following issues: the threshold for utilizing the intensive care unit (ICU), how to balance treatment against cost, the percentage burn considered survivable and how it should be determined, the use of skin from both cadavers and living related donors, and the appropriate ethical guidelines for LMICs.

Burden of Surgical Disease

The Lancet Commission on Global Surgery has highlighted the fact that the vast majority of surgical care is delivered and consumed in high-income countries, yet about two-thirds of the burden of surgical disease is in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) where resources available to address this disease burden are inadequate.¹ A number of diseases have been highlighted as being major contributors to the burden of surgical disease, one of which is burns and thermal injury.¹ According to the World Health Organization (WHO), an estimated 180 000 deaths annually are related to burn injury.² Burns are among the leading causes of lost disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) in LMICs. The rate of child burn deaths is 2.5 per 100 000 across 103 countries, with Sub-Saharan Africa having the highest rate (4.5 per 100 000).³ This paper focuses on ethical dilemmas arising in the management of burn injuries in LMICs in general and in South Africa in particular.

After two decades of democracy, health care in South Africa remains fragmented along social class lines and for a number of historical and contemporary political reasons is under-resourced at multiple levels. This challenging setting raises ethical dilemmas for burn surgeons who practice in South Africa, which will be discussed in the following sections. The overall ethical themes that arise in burn care management in an environment like South Africa are those of social justice and [access to care](#). Issues

related to respect for autonomy, beneficence, and nonmaleficence are usually a consequence of issues related to access to resources.

Justice and Access to Resources

There is an opportunity cost involved in the use of any resource. Resources are finite, and physicians caring for burn patients have an obligation only to use these resources appropriately, in a way that is beneficial to both the patient and the society. This obligation is not exclusive to the management of burn injuries. Twenty-five years ago, Sir David Carter wrote an eloquent editorial on this topic as it pertained to acute severe pancreatitis,⁴ in which he discusses attempts to weigh the value of the life of an individual and the cost of saving that individual's life; physicians are asked to try to ascertain exactly how to strike this balance. Acute pancreatitis, like burn injury, is a disease that can consume [scarce resources](#) but provide only marginal benefit to both patient and society.

An attempt to find this balance is demonstrated in a study of burn cases conducted at our institution in South Africa,⁵ in which the second author (NA) and the fifth author (DC) reviewed the utility of so-called mortality predictive scores in our setting. Demographic data, burn details, and final outcome (i.e., whether the patient lived or died) were used in statistical analyses. Four mortality predictive scores were calculated for each patient using Modified Baux, Coste et al., Belgian Outcome of Burn Injury (BOBI), and Abbreviated Burn Severity Index (ABSI) scores. These four scoring models were developed to assist with difficult decisions regarding futility of treatment for patients with large burns, but all had been developed in high-income countries (HICs) and been shown to be sensitive and specific in terms of predicting death in patients from HICs.⁶⁻⁹ Each score used clinical data to predict a so-called "break point" at which 90% or more of patients can be expected to die. The break points for each score in our institution were calculated using combinations of factors such as age, total body surface area burn (TBSA), presence of inhalation injury, and depth of burn, depending on which score was used. These break points were much lower than the break points identified in the literature. In other words, in our LMIC environment, a significant number of fatalities occur in patients with potentially salvageable burns had they occurred in a HIC. This left us with a dilemma. If we merely adopted these scoring models and replaced the break points in the literature with our own break points for predicting mortality, we would merely be normalizing deviance. Furthermore, if we accept that our patients will die with a much smaller TBSA than patients in a HIC center, then we would risk creating a self-fulfilling prophecy by using our own break points. Although we thus could not use these scoring models to predict mortality, we now use our break points to identify patients who are at risk for unexpected and potentially preventable death in our setting, allowing us to institute more aggressive interventions to prevent this outcome.¹⁰

The context, however, often influences the ethical dilemma. For example, in the busy winter months when 2 or 3 children with burns between 25% and 40% TBSA are admitted each week, resources are rapidly consumed. This circumstance might impact decision making regarding an adult male with a 50% TBSA burn who is admitted during this busy period. A 50% TBSA burn in our unit falls in the Lethal Area 50 Index (LA50) associated with 50% mortality. So the adult patient admitted during the busy winter months creates a major ethical dilemma. He has a potentially survivable burn if managed aggressively. Yet, if this patient's care is prioritized, the 3 children with smaller burns who have also been admitted during this period might well receive a lesser degree of care. Denying the adult patient the appropriate resources results in a self-fulfilling prophesy in terms of his outcome. However, if the same patient presented during the summer months, his major injury would be prioritized and receive the appropriate resources.

A 2013 review of intensive care unit (ICU) resources in South Africa found that only 25% of all ICU beds were in the public sector, and only 23% of hospitals in the public sector had an ICU facility.¹¹ The most important consideration when it comes to admitting a burn patient to the ICU in a government-funded hospital is whether the patient can be expected to survive to leave hospital. With such limited resources, it is unreasonable to allocate finite and consumable resources to a patient with no real prognosis. Once allocated to a particular patient, those resources are immediately denied to another patient. The patient most likely to qualify for ICU admission is one with a defined, easily reversible problem. One such problem is facial burns in which acute swelling results in a compromised airway. Intubation for 24 to 48 hours is usually sufficient for the swelling to resolve and for the patient to be extubated. Children who require ventilation are also candidates for the ICU. Once again, the caveat is that the burn must be thought to be survivable before the intensivist will consider admitting the patient.

Ethical Issues in Skin Donation

In April 2016, the first skin bank in South Africa was opened in Pretoria at the Centre for Tissue Engineering.¹² Nevertheless, the availability of cadaveric skin remains restricted. There is little information in the public sphere about tissue donation, and organ retrieval systems remain inadequate to identify all potential organ donors in the country. The indication for using allografts (ie, skin from a genetically nonidentical person) is massive TBSA burns when insufficient donor sites in burn patients are available. Allograft is used as a temporizing measure until the donor sites have healed and skin can once again be harvested from these sites.¹³ The only center in South Africa to have much experience with the use of cadaveric skin to temporize large burns is the Red Cross War Memorial Children's Hospital in Cape Town. The availability of deceased donor allograft skin has been internationally proven to decrease mortality and morbidity in burn victims.¹³

Skin from living related donors is not typically used due to risk to the living donor as well as logistical issues and limited theater time, although at our center we had one such

case, which raised significant ethical concerns. We admitted a 6-week-old child with 30% full-thickness burns. Excision and harvesting would have resulted in too great a physiological derangement, but excision without coverage was also not acceptable. After ethical consideration by the multidisciplinary team and extensive discussion with the mother, who was HIV positive, we performed a mother-to-child skin graft after excision under the cover of prophylactic antiretrovirals. Due to the small size of the child, only a 5% donor site was harvested from the mother. The child did well with subsequent autografting and has remained HIV negative up to one-year postprocedure. Although living skin donation poses risks to the living donor and logistical issues, it can be lifesaving, particularly for smaller children. It would be more practical to direct efforts towards increasing skin donation after death and creating a sustainable supply of allograft skin for major burn patients.

The Appropriate Ethical Guidelines for a LMIC Must Avoid Accepting Second Best

Inequality is a reality in terms of access to resources. The utilitarian approach to ethics aims to choose the path that increases the happiness or well-being of as many people as possible. This is a controversial approach, because it denies a minority their happiness or well-being in order to increase the happiness or well-being of the majority. However, when it comes to the allocation of scarce resources, physicians frequently find themselves making just such decisions. Who is most likely to benefit from these scarce resources is the question that must be answered. Working in a LMIC setting creates a number of ethical challenges for physicians working with burn patients, as the availability of resources directly impacts outcome and survival following a burn. It is important not to allow a creeping sense of fatalism to lead one into an acceptance of "second best" and "good enough," which can become a self-fulfilling prophecy.

References

1. Meara JG, Greenberg SLM. The Lancet Commission on Global Surgery Global surgery 2030: evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare and economic development. *Surgery*. 2015;157(5):834-835.
2. World Health Organization. Burns. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs365/en/>. Updated January 2018. Accessed January 29, 2018.
3. Sengoelge M, El-Khatib Z, Laflamme L. The global burden of child burn injuries in light of country level economic development and income inequality. *Prev Med Rep*. 2017;6:115-120.
4. Carter DC. Acute pancreatitis: the value of life. *Br J Surg*. 1993;80(12):1499-1500.
5. Smith M, Allorto N, Clarke D. Modified first world mortality scores can be used in a regional South African burn service with resource limitations. *Burns*. 2016;42(6):1340-1344.
6. Ayubi E, Safiri S. Long term mortality in critically ill burn survivors: methodological issues. *Burns*. 2017;43(8):1818-1819.

7. Brusselaers N, Juhász I, Erdei I, Monstrey S, Blot S. Predictive value of the Belgian Outcome in Burn Injury (BOBI) prediction model. *Burns*. 2010;36(8):1318-1320.
8. Tejero-Trujeque R. How effective is the Abbreviated Burn Severity Index in predicting patient mortality? *J Wound Care*. 2000;9(10):475-478.
9. Hussain A, Choukairi F, Dunn K. Predicting survival in thermal injury: a systematic review of methodology of composite prediction models. *Burns*. 2013;39(5):835-850.
10. Smith MT, Allorto NL, Clarke DL. Modified first world mortality scores can be used in a regional South African burn service with resource limitations. *Burns*. 2016;42(6):1340-1344.
11. Naidoo K, Singh J, Lalloo U. A critical analysis of ICU/HC beds in South Africa: 2008-2009. *S Afr Med J*. 2013;103(10):751-753.
12. Pretorius W. Sout Africa's first skin bank opens. *News24*. April 15, 2016. <https://www.news24.com/SouthAfrica/News/south-africas-first-skin-bank-opens-20160415>. Accessed May 2, 2018.
13. Allorto N, Rogers AD, Rode H. "Getting under our skin": introducing banked allograft skin to burn surgery in South Africa. *S Afr Med J*. 2016;106(9):865-866.

Shelley Wall, MBChB, is a surgeon and runs the burns unit at Grey's Hospital in Pietermaritzburg, South Africa, where she has been working since 2015. Her doctoral research aims to improve pain control in children injured by burns in the KwaZulu-Natal province.

Nikki Allorto, MBChB, is the president of the South African Burns Society and founder of the Burn Care Trust. She has directed many courses in emergency management of severe burns in South Africa and Kenya.

Ross Weale, MBBS, is a surgical house officer in the United Kingdom and an MMedSci student at the University of KwaZulu-Natal in South Africa.

Victor Kong, PhD, is a general surgical registrar at the University of KwaZulu-Natal in Pietermaritzburg, South Africa, with a special interest in trauma.

Damian Clarke, PhD, is an associate professor of general surgery at the University of the Witwatersrand in Johannesburg, South Africa, and a full professor and general surgical consultant at the University of KwaZulu-Natal.

Related in the *AMA Journal of Ethics*

[Balancing Demand for Universally Improved Health Outcomes with Need for a Local Standard of Care](#), March 2018

[Clinical Research in Low- and Middle-Income Countries](#), July 2009

[Problems and Costs That Could Be Addressed by Improved Burn and Wound Care Training in Health Professions Education](#), June 2018
[What Is a Life Worth?](#), April 2011
[Who Should Ration?](#), February 2017

The viewpoints expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.

Copyright 2018 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.
ISSN 2376-6980