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We appreciate the *AMA Journal of Ethics*’ forward-looking issue on artificial intelligence (AI), and we select ideas in C. Donald Combs and P. Ford Combs’ article, “Emerging Roles of Virtual Patients in the Age of AI,” for further discussion.

1. **Conflation of virtual patients and virtual humans.** The term *virtual patient* (VP) has been applied to numerous applications with different designs, technologies, and educational objectives. This heterogeneity can lead to confusion.¹ The Association of American Medical Colleges’ definition of VP that the authors reference was developed in 2006 and refers to computerized clinical case simulations.² These applications, which are largely text based with multimedia content, focus on clinical reasoning and decision making and do not utilize AI.¹,³,⁴ The authors conflate VPs of this type with virtual humans (VHs), computer-driven conversational agents with human form that interact with humans using the full range of behaviors found in human-to-human, face-to-face interaction.⁵ VHs utilize AI in computer-based interpersonal communication training simulations—as virtual standardized patients,⁴,⁶ physicians, or any other human across the health care enterprise.

2. **Overstatement of virtual patient perils.** The authors present material about “sexist AI,” cybercrime, malicious intent in programming, and psychopathic AI. Without an accompanying account of educational software, AI, or VH development, readers may overestimate the risk of using these agents. The following clarification should mitigate the sense of menace the article evokes.

AI is broadly defined as any task performed by a program or machine that, if performed by a human, would require applied intelligence to accomplish.⁷ The current state-of-the-art is *narrow AI*,⁸ which might utilize natural language processing and machine learning to solve specific problems. By contrast, *strong AI* is an assemblage of cognitive processes sufficient to enable self-awareness and intentionality. Strong AI is far removed from realization and may not even be possible.⁹,¹⁰,¹¹ Personified as Norman Bates, the serial killer in Alfred Hitchcock’s *Psycho*,¹² the Norman program mentioned in the article is suggestive of the strong AI of dystopian films like *Ex Machina* and *Blade Runner*. By referring to the
program using the personal pronoun “he” and stating that “Norman was subjected to the darkest corners of Reddit,” as if a person had been subjected to a terrifying ordeal, the authors make Norman seem much “stronger” than it is. Norman is merely a sensationalized example of narrow AI that was intentionally derived using data-driven machine learning applied to an unvetted set of data from a now-banned Reddit website where users posted videos of people dying and gave textual explanations of the manner of death.¹³,¹⁴ When shown a series of Rorschach inkblots, Norman unsurprisingly interprets inkblots as people dying, because that’s what MIT researchers trained it to do.¹⁴

By generalizing from this example to VH creation, the article misses the point that development of VHs for medical education is wholly under the control of medical educators and trusted experts. It would be ethically irresponsible for educators to use unvetted data sets to train a VH, to implement AI algorithms that allow unwanted degrees of freedom from desirable VH behaviors, or to abrogate responsibility for human oversight in VH program development.¹⁵ To ensure positive learning outcomes, educators must stipulate evidence-based design requirements, create content, and then iteratively evaluate the sufficiency of materials passed back to them by software engineers. This agile development process¹⁶,¹⁷ requires transparency to stakeholders, effectively eliminating the “black box” of programming and minimizing the risk of VH applications being tainted by the unintended introduction of undesirable content.

3. **VH opportunities.** The article overlooks the most noteworthy opportunity that AI-enabled VH simulation offers to medical education: training in basic and complex communication skills (eg, facial expression, verbal and nonverbal behaviors) along with cultivating awareness and application of ethical principles. Communication and ethics are deeply interrelated. Verbal and nonverbal communication proficiency¹⁸,¹⁹,²⁰ is necessary for clinicians to develop trust, encourage patient disclosure, and determine patients’ needs, values, beliefs and concerns.²¹,²²,²³ Good practice in complex communication is therefore inseparable from the ethical practice of medicine.²⁴,²⁵ Ethics and communication have both proven challenging to teach, however.²⁶,²⁷,²⁸,²⁹

With its capacity for standardized presentation of materials, distributed learning across institutions, and fine-grained uniform assessment, AI-enabled VH simulation can help address the variability of current undergraduate and graduate ethics education.³⁰,³¹ Learners can engage one-on-one with VH patients, family members, or colleagues in realistic situations drawn from everyday clinical encounters that focus on ethical challenges and complex communication.³²,³³,³⁴,³⁵ These simulated situations can pose a range of ethical challenges for learners—from informed consent to breaking bad news, dealing
with cultural disparities, and more. AI-enabled VH simulation can improve how students learn, remember, perceive, and make decisions.\textsuperscript{29} By scaffolding learning materials, simulations can increase in complexity as learners advance along their educational trajectory from premedical study to postgraduate continuing medical education. Moreover, their round-the-clock accessibility provides flexibility for busy learners.

In summary, VH education offers a promising frontier in health care education into which educators should not fear to stride.

References


12. Mac R. After the proliferation of the New Zealand shooting video, Reddit has banned two channels showing human death. \textit{BuzzFeed.} March 15,


34. Fetters MD, Guetterman TC, Scerbo MW, Kron FW. A two-phase mixed methods project illustrating development of a virtual human intervention to teach advanced communication skills and a subsequent blinded mixed methods trial to test the intervention for effectiveness. *Int J Mult Res Approaches.* 2018;10(1):296-316.


**Frederick W. Kron, MD** is an adjunct research assistant professor in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor. His interests include the translation of multidisciplinary research into novel, practical technological approaches for enriching health care education in communication and ethical clinical practice and for improving the quality of health care delivery and the patient experience.

**Timothy C. Guetterman, PhD** is an assistant professor of family medicine at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor. His research focuses on advancing the methodology of mixed methods and qualitative research, educational assessment, and investigating virtual human technology for improving health communication.

**Michael D. Fetters MD, MPH, MA** is a professor of family medicine and a faculty member in the Center for Bioethics and Social Sciences in Medicine at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, where he is also the co-director of the Michigan Mixed Methods Research and Scholarship Program. He is also the director of the Japanese Family Health Program at Michigan Medicine and a co-chief editor of the *Journal of Mixed Methods Research.*
Acknowledgements
This work was supported by a Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) phase II grant (01/5R44TR000360-04), “Modeling Professionalism and Teaching Humanistic Communication in Virtual Reality,” from the National Institutes of Health and a career development award (1-K01-LM-012739-01) from the National Library of Medicine and the National Institutes of Health (Dr Guetterman).

Conflict of Interest Disclosure
Dr Kron serves as president of, and Dr Fetters has stock options in, Medical Cyberworlds, Inc, which received the SBIR phase II grant funding that supported this research. The University of Michigan Conflict of Interest Office considered potential for conflict of interest and concluded that no formal management plan was required. Dr Guetterman had no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The viewpoints expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.