Abstract
Clinicians cannot always directly or effectively engage patients experiencing mental health crises. This article considers the common practice of relying upon law enforcement personnel to facilitate mental health checks and considers its implications for Black patients. An antiracist approach to decriminalizing acute exacerbations of mental illness requires clinicians’ engagement in educating, training, and policymaking. This article recommends strategies for effective real-time communication before, during, and after a 911 call involving a person experiencing a mental health crisis.

Danger in a Wellness Check
An estimated 1 of 5 Americans lives with mental illness, and the number of adults reporting unmet mental health needs has increased during the COVID-19 pandemic. Clinicians sometimes call emergency services to recruit police to facilitate mental wellness checks on individuals expressing suicidal ideation or intent to harm themselves or others. However, reliance on law enforcement to handle such emergencies can escalate already sensitive situations. Police officers are more likely to arrest individuals with mental illness for minor offenses or use lethal force than individuals without mental illness.

Criminalization of mental health is situated within the broader context of racialized policing practices in America. Black Americans are disproportionately represented among victims of lethal and nonlethal police violence compared to White Americans. The fatal shooting of Travis Jordan, a 36-year-old Black man killed by police in 2019 after his girlfriend called 911 out of fear that he would complete suicide, expresses harrowing irony: the dangers of a wellness check. In the 911 transcripts, Jordan’s girlfriend tells the dispatch officer that Jordan called her “all the time saying he wants to die” and that she did not “know how to deal with it.” Approximately 15 minutes later, he was shot and killed. The officers did not face criminal charges.
While the media publicizes only a fraction of such encounters, we must acknowledge inequitable trauma suffered by Black persons from unexpected and frequently armed police responses. The impact of police brutality extends beyond those directly involved, as the mental well-being of Black adults is further eroded when they are exposed to police killings of Black Americans. These consequences are relevant for all people of color and disadvantaged communities. Police violence is uniquely traumatic in being conceptually distinguishable from other forms of violence and independently associated with adverse mental health outcomes. In a vicious cycle, exposure to police violence is an independent risk factor for subsequent mental illness, and those suffering from untreated mental illness are 16 times more likely to be killed during police confrontations than other civilians.

An antiracist approach to decriminalizing acute exacerbations of mental illness requires clinicians’ engagement in educating, training, and policymaking. This article recommends strategies for effective real-time communication before, during, and after a 911 call involving a person experiencing a mental health crisis.

Antiracist Crisis Response

Lack of available community mental health services usually means persons experiencing crises lack access to emergent psychiatric services. Clinicians are often unable to directly intervene and thus could justify involving law enforcement to engage a patient in distress. But we are professionally and ethically obligated to ask whether and when calling 911 causes harm to historically marginalized patients. Antiracism requires us to identify historically racist policies and practices and stop perpetuating them. Drawing from abolitionist approaches to health justice and equity, we can reimagine what intersections between health and public safety should look like.

Training and policy. Specifically, antiracism requires us to question clinical practices that utilize force, such as seclusion or physical or chemical means of restraint. Clinicians’ perceptions of threat or harm can be distorted and muddled by affective biases (eg, the belief that Black persons are inherently dangerous), which can prompt inequitable uses of force against Black patients. Indeed, Black patients are more likely than others to be physically restrained in emergency departments. Historically, inequitable uses of force have fueled racist narratives used to suggest Black persons’ “propensity” for criminality and violence. This relationship between carceral and clinical logic can cause harm when clinicians call upon police to extend the reach of clinical control. Interventions targeting sources of clinicians’ affective bias should motivate understanding of their origins to effectively combat racism within and beyond clinical settings.

Protocol. Clinicians need explicit training in how to orchestrate and conduct suicide risk assessments and in de-escalating situations in which patients are at risk of harm. They must become comfortable in de-escalation to decrease reliance upon law enforcement. Clinical care guidelines might encourage clinicians to call 911 to secure a patient’s or their own safety and well-being, but even well-intentioned policies exacerbate systemic racial inequity. Prior to involving law enforcement, attempts to reach a patient’s emergency contacts are obligatory. If these efforts are insufficient, a crisis hotline, if available, should also be utilized prior to calling 911, so that a mobile mental health crisis team or mental health professional can initially respond and contact police only if needed. Clinicians and organizations must interrogate whether their policies undermine equity and can be improved to promote structural change—specifically, by
asking who benefits and who is harmed by a policy, who was involved in its development, and how can it be better formulated to express antiracism.26

**Communication.** If de-escalation attempts have been exhausted and the benefits of contacting law enforcement appear to outweigh potential harms, particularly in situations in which the threat of harm to the patient or others is imminent, communication between a caller and dispatcher is critical. A dispatcher’s subjective interpretation of a situation’s urgency and severity can affect how frontline responders are informed.27 Antiracist dispatch practice28 includes bidirectional, structured communication. We recommend clinicians provide the following information to a dispatcher (see Figure).

**Figure. Information for Police Wellness Check**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before Calling 911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that all reasonable attempts to contact the <strong>patient’s family members</strong> or other <strong>emergency contacts</strong> have been made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider calling a local <strong>mental health crisis mobile unit</strong> instead of 911 if available in that area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remain in contact</strong> with the patient if possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attempt to inform</strong> the patient that the police will be contacted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When Requesting a Police Wellness Check</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When speaking with the dispatch officer, include the following information about the situation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Caller’s name, role, and relationship to the patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Request for a wellness check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Request for the presence of a trained mental health professional and/or police officer certified in crisis intervention training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Current mental health assessment of the patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Whether the patient is known to have access to a weapon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Known history of physical violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relevant trauma history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Any other relevant information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>After Calling 911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow up</strong> within 24 hours after the wellness check to provide appropriate resources and support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hold space</strong> for the patient to process and debrief about the experience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A clinician-caller should then inform the patient to expect an encounter with emergency responders, including police. It is essential to follow up to provide resources and hold space for the patient to process and debrief about a potentially traumatic experience.29

**Onward**

Inequitable and potentially deadly impact of police mental health checks is but one example of how clinicians’ actions exacerbate oppression. Responsibility to cultivate antiracist crisis responses and to decriminalize mental illness belongs to students, clinicians, and organizations, who must formalize training,28 partner with local mental health advocacy organizations, lobby for mental health service and resource expansion, and promote research that motivates equity through antiracist action.30,31 Research should also evaluate outcomes of implementing the recommendations offered in this
article and seek to more robustly document and centralize data about wellness check practices.

When the 988-call number replaces the National Suicide Prevention Hotline number by July 2022, mental health crisis counselors are likely to be as easy to reach as a 911 dispatcher. Furthermore, if passed, the Mental Health Justice Act, introduced in the US House of Representatives in 2021, would award grants to states to hire more mental health professionals to serve in first responder units. These efforts are encouraging attempts to limit police exposure to patients of color in need of mental health care. Clinicians’ roles in promoting unarmed, decriminalized, and antiracist mental health crisis responses are key.
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